EL-V1M4-2009



# ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY SECTOR

# **VOLUME 1**

# MANAGEMENT AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LABORATORIES PERFORMING ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

Module 4: Quality Systems for Chemical Testing

Voting Draft Standard December 2011

> P.O. Box 2439 Weatherford, TX 76086 817-598-1624 www.nelac-institute.org

© 2009 The NELAC Institute

EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing TNI Voting Draft Standard December 2011 page i

## PREFACE

This Standard is the result of many hours of effort by those volunteers on The NELAC Institute (TNI) Quality Systems Committee. The TNI Board of Directors wishes to thank these committee members for their efforts in preparing this Standard as well as those TNI members who offered comments during the voting process.

This Standard supplements Module 2, Quality Systems General Requirements, and may be used by any organization that wishes to implement a program for the accreditation of environmental laboratories.

TNI Voting Draft Standard December 2011 EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing page iii

## **VOLUME 1, MODULE 4**

## **Quality Systems for Chemical Testing**

### **Table of Contents**

1.0	CHE	MICAL TESTING
		1.3.1 Additional Terms and Definitions 1
	1.4 1.5	Method Selection
		1.5.1 Validation of Methods
		1.5.2     Limit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation (nowever Named)
		1.5.2.2 Limit of Quantitation (LOQ)
	1.6	Demonstration of Capability (DOC)
		1.6.1 General
		1.6.3 Ongoing DOC
	17	Technical Paquiromente
	1.7	172 Continuing Calibration 5
		1.7.5 Sample Handling
		5

EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing page v

TNI Voting Draft Standard December 2011

1	<b>VOLUME 1, MODULE 4</b>		
2 3 4	Quality Systems for Chemical Testing		
5 6 <b>1.0</b> 7	CHEMICAL TESTING		
8 1.3.1 9 0	Additional Terms and Definitions	1	
1 2 Reserved	distinguished from the concentrations of chemical or biological components.		
3 4 1.3.2 5	Exclusions and Exceptions		
5 7 8 <b>1</b> 1	Reserved Method Selection		
9 0	Refer to Volume 1 Module 2 Sections 5.4.2, 5.4.3 and 5.4.4.		
1 <del>A referer</del> 2 3 4	We method is a method issued by an organization generally recognized as competent to do so. (When ISO refers to a standard method, that term is equivalent to reference method). When a laboratory is required to analyze a parameter by a specified method due to a regulatory requirement, the parameter/method combination is recognized as a reference method.		
5 7 7 9 9 9 1 2 3 4 5 5 6 7	If there is not a regulatory requirement for the parameter/method combination, the parameter/ method combination need not be validated under 1.5.1b) as a non-reference method if it can be analyzed by another similar reference method of the same matrix and technology. The inclusion of the <u>parameteranalyte</u> in the method shall meet all required calibration requirements and the quality control requirements of the method to which the <u>parameteranalyte</u> is being added. If no QC exists in the method, the laboratory shall adhere to the requirements outlined in the <u>a piniter reference</u> method of the same technology (when available). For example, when adding acetone to Method 624, the calibration and QC requirements shall follow Method 624. A method that meets the <u>se</u> above requirements shall be identified in such a way so that there is no confusion that the <u>method</u> <u>analyte ist</u> has been modified.		Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight
} } )	agreement with the client and shall include a clear specification of the client's requirements and the purpose of the environmental test. The method developed shall have been validated appropriately before use.		
1.5	Method Validation		
4 1.5.1 5 6 7 8 9	Validation of Methods Prior to acceptance and institution of any method for which data will be reported, all methods shall  be validated, a) The laboratory shall validate reference methods via the procedures specified in Sections 1.5.12 and 1.5.3Refer to Volume 1 Module 2, Section 5.4.5. a) The laboratory shall validate reference methods via the procedures specified in Sections 1.5.2		Formatted: Double underline, Highlight Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.63" Formatted: Double underline Formatted: Highlight
) 0 1	and 1.5.3. For reference methods, the procedures outlined in 1.6 can satisfy the requirements of 1.5.23.		Formatted: Double strikethrough, Highlight

TNI Voting Dr	aft Standard December 2011	EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing page 2	
<u>b)</u>	For all methods, except reference methods, the validation m	ust comply with Volume 1, Module	Formatted: Highlight
	2, Sections 5.4.5.1, 5.4.5.2, and 5.4.5.3. This validation mu requirements outlined in Sections 1.5.2, 1.5.3 and 1.5.4, of t	<mark>st</mark> include the minimum his module.	
The laborato	ry shall validate non-reference methods, laboratory designed/ methods used outside their published scope, and amplific reference methods to confirm that the methods are fit for t shall be as extensive as is necessary to meet the needs o application. The laboratory shall record the results obtaine validation, and a statement as to whether the method is fit absence of other specifications, the minimum requirement Sections 1.5.2, 1.5.3 and 1.5.4.	developed methods, reference ations and modifications of he intended use. The validation f the given application or field of id, the procedure used for the for the intended use. In the is for method validation are given in	
l 1.5.2 Lir	nit of Detection and Limit of Quantitation (However Named)		Formatted: AAA-Level2, Indent: Left: 0", First line: 0", Tab stops: 0.63", Left + Not at 0.63"
Pr Do	ocedures used for determining limits of detection and quantita cumentation shall include the quality system matrix type. All s	tion shall be documented. supporting data shall be retained.	
1.5.2.1 Lir	nit of Detection (LOD)		
lf t no	he laboratory is not reporting a value below the Limit of Quant t required, <u>unless specified by the method</u> .	titation, a Limit of Detection study is	
An	LOD study is not required for physical parameters, for any ar	alvte for which spiking solutions	Formatted: Highlight
are	e not practicable or for any method that does not use a calibra	ition curve (e.g., residues, specific	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.63"
<u></u>		·	Formatted: Highlight
Th	e laboratory shall utilize a method that provides an LOD that i	s appropriate and relevant for the	Formatted: Highlight
de	termining detection limits, these shall be followed. The laboration	tory shall document how LODs	Formatted: Highlight
we se me	re derived from the determinations. If the protocol for determi ection of the procedure shall reflect instrument limitations and thod.	ning the LOD is not specified, the I the intended application of the	
All	sample-processing and analysis steps of the analytical metho	od shall be included in the	
ue			Formatted: Highlight
a)	When required, the laboratory shall determine or verify the	e LOD for the method for each	Formatted: Highlight
	target analyte of concern in the quality system matrices.		Formatted: Highlight
b)	The LOD shall be initially determined for the analytes of in	terest in each method in a quality	Formatted: Highlight
	system matrix in which there are neither target analytes no	printerferences at a concentration	Formatted: Highlight
	interest	ed in the quality system matrix of	Formatted: Highlight
		11 ji 11 ji	
<u> </u>	An LOD study shall be performed each time there is a cha	inge in the method that affects how	Formatted: Highlight
	sensitivity of the analysis or a change in instrumentation	Poccurs that affects the instrument	Formatted: Highlight
	<u></u>		Formatted: Not Highlight
d)	The LOD, if required, shall be verified annually for each qu	uality system matrix, technology,	Formatted: Highlight
	and analyte.		Formatted: Normal Dop't adjust space
<u>e)</u> system matri	The validity of the LOD shall be verified by analyzing a QC x and containing the analyte of interest at a concentration of r and 4X the LOD for multiple analyte tests. The LOD is confir	to more than 3x the LOD for single	between Latin and Asian text, Don't adjust space between Asian text and numbers, Tab stops: Not at 0.63" + 1.38" + 1.75"
instrument si	gnal greater than 3x the instrument noise level or ii) a response	se that is distinguishable from the	Formatted: Highlight
blank. The L	DD verification must meet all qualitative identification criteria a	appropriate for the method, such as	Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt, Highlight

TNI Votir	ng Draf	ft Standard December 2011 EL-V1M4-20 pag	009 e 3		
ion ratios is to be t the LOD the analy more tha be perfer of the LC the use t	s for G used fo detern yte(s) in 3X f med ( )D sha of the	C/MS and peak pattern for PCB. This verification shall be performed on every instrument that or analysis of samples and reporting of data. The validity of the LOD shall be verified as part of mination process. The validity of the LOD shall be verified by detection (a value above zero) of in a QC sample in each quality system matrix. This QC sample shall contain the analyte at no the LOD for single analyte tests and 4X the LOD for multiple analyte tests. This verification of on every instrument that is to be used for analysis of samples and reporting of data. The valid all be verified as part of the LOD determination process. This verification shall be done prior to LOD for the sample analysis.			- F
	<del>c)</del>	An LOD study is not required for any component for which spiking solutions or quality contrest samples are not available such as temperature.	<del>ol</del>		F
	d <u>c</u> )	The LOD shall be initially determined for the compound <u>analytes of interest in each method</u> a quality system matrix in which there are neither target analytes nor interferences at a concentration that would impact the results or the LOD shall be performed in the quality system matrix of interest.	in I		
	<u>ed</u> ) <u>fe</u> )	<ul> <li>An LOD shall be performed each time there is a change in the method that affects how the test is performed, or when a change in instrumentation occurs that affects the sensitivity of the analysis.</li> <li>The LOD, if required, shall be verified annually for each quality system matrix, technology,</li> </ul>			
1.5.2.2	Limit	and analyte. t of Quantitation (LOQ)		j	F
	The requ avail chlor som certa	LOQ must be established for each analyte in a reported test. A determination of an LOQ is n uired for physical parameters, for any component analyte for which spiking solutions are not lable or for any test that does not use a calibration curve (e.g., jesidues, specific conductance rophyll, or titrimetric determinations, etc.). While an LOQ determination may not be required, e methods or regulations require reporting to a specific level or restrict reporting values below ain level (e.g., BOD and residues).	ot • .		
	<u>.</u>	en required, tThe laboratory shall establish the LOQ by: using test conditions or instrument restrictions (e.g., sample volume, accuracy of balance, method QC requirements) or by a study using spiked samples (when required). If spiking samples is not an option or the laboratory shall determine an appropriate LOQ or as the basis			F F F F
	_a)	All sample-processing and analysis steps of the analytical method shall be included in the determination of the LOQ.		(111) (111) (111) (111) (111) (111) (111)	F
	<del>b)</del>	The LOQ study is not required for any component or property for which spiking solutions or quality control samples are not available or otherwise inappropriate (e.g., pH).			F
	e <u>b</u> )	The LOQ shall be verified annually for each quality system matrix, technology, and analyte. Such verification shall be performed on every instrument that is to be used for analysis of samples and reporting of data unless However, the annual LOQ verification is not required in the LOD was determined or verified annually on that instrument.	, , ,		F Le D F
	C)	The validity of the LOQ shall be verified by successful analysis of a QC sample containing t analytes of concern in each quality system matrix at 1 to 2 times the claimed LOQ. A successful analysis is one where the recovery of each analyte is within the laboratory established method acceptance criteria or client data quality objectives for accuracy.	<mark>he</mark>		FFFF
	dc)	When an LOD is determined or verified by the laboratory, the LOO shall be above the LOD		× 1	÷

#### Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

_,1	Formatted: Highlight
1	Formatted: Highlight
- 1	Formatted: Highlight
1	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.63"
	Formatted: Strikethrough, Highlight
Ì	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
Ń	Formatted: Highlight
Ň	Formatted: Highlight
. 1	Formatted: Highlight
Ň	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
11	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Highlight
	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75", Bulleted + Level: 1 + Aligned at: 0.28" + Indent at:
ini	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Double underline
	0.53", Tab stops: 1", Left Formatted: Not Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Duble underline Formatted: Highlight

l	TNI Votin	g Draft Standard December 2011 EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing page 4		
	1	e <u>d) The LOQ shall be verified annually for each quality system matrix, technology, and analyte.</u> However, the annual LOQ verification is not required if the LOD was determined or verified annually on that instrument.		
	1.6	Demonstration of Capability (DOC)		
	1.6.1	General		
ĺ		a) Prior to An individual who performs any activity involved with preparation and/or analysis of		Formatted: Highlight
		samples must have constant, close supervision until acceptance and institution of any method for which data will be reported, a satisfactory initial DOC is required completed (see Section 1.6.2).		Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
		b) Thereafter, ongoing DOC (Section 1.6.3), as per the quality control requirements in Section + 1.7.3 (such as laboratory control samples) is required.		Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Highlight Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
I		c) In cases where a laboratory analyzes an individual has prepared and/or analyzed samples		Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
		using a method that has been in use by the laboratory for at least one year prior to applying for accreditation, and there have been no significant changes in instrument type <u>personnel</u> or method,		Formatted: Highlight
		the ongoing DOC shall be acceptable as an initial DOC. The laboratory shall have records on file to demonstrate that an initial DOC is not required.		Formatted: Highlight
		For the initial DOC, appropriate records as discussed in Section 1.6.2 shall be completed.		Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
		An initial DOC shall be completed each time there is a change in instrument type, personnel, or		Formatted: Highlight
		method.		Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
		d)All demonstrations shall be documented. All data applicable to the demonstration shall be retained and readily available at the laboratory.		Formatted: Tab stops: 1", Left
	1.6.2	Initial DOC		
1		An individual must successfully perform Aan initial DOC shall be conducted prior to using any		Formatted: Highlight
		method <u>isee 1.0.1 at above</u> , and at any time there is a change in instrument type, personnel or method or any time that a method has not been performed by the laboratory or analyst in a twelve	1	Formatted: Highlight
I		(12) month period.		Formatted: Highlight
	1.6.2.1	The laboratory shall document each initial DOC in a manner such that the following information is readily available for each affected employee:		Formatted: Highlight
I		c) analyte(s), class of analyte(s), or measured parameter(s); :		
	1.6.2.2	If the method or regulation does not specify an initial DOC, the following procedure is acceptable. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to initial DOC are adequate.		
		d) Compare the information from (c) above to the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision and accuracy in the method (if applicable) or in laboratory-generated acceptance criteria (if there are not established mandatory criteria). If all <u>parameteranalyte</u> s meet the acceptance criteria, the analysis of actual samples may begin. If any one of the <u>parameteranalyte</u> s does not meet the acceptance criteria, the performance is unacceptable for that <u>parameteranalyte</u> .		

TNI Votir	ng Draft	Standard December 2011 EL-V1M4-2009 page 5		
	e)	When one or more of the tested parameteranalytes fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, the analyst shall proceed according to i) or ii) below.	I	
		<ul> <li>Locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all parameter<u>analyte</u>s of interest beginning with b) above.</li> </ul>	I	
		ii. Beginning with b) above, repeat the test for all <u>parameteranalyte</u> s that failed to meet criteria.	l	
	f)	Repeated failure, however, confirms a general problem with the measurement system. If this occurs, locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat the test for all <u>compoundanalytes</u> of interest beginning with b).	I	
1.6.3	Ongo	ing DOC		
1.6.3.1	The I labor	aboratory shall have a documented procedure describing ongoing DOC that includes how the alory intends to identify data associated with ongoing DOCs. The analyst(s) shall demonstrate		
	on-go	oing capability by <u>routinely</u> meeting the quality control requirements of the method, laboratory		Formatted: Highlight
	analy	st in a twelve (12) month period, an Initial DOC (1.6.2) shall be performed. It is the	17	Formatted: Highlight
	respo	nsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to ongoing DOC are adequate.		Formatted: Highlight
1.6.3.2	This	on-going demonstration may be one of the following:		
	a)	acceptable performance of a blind sample (single blind to the analyst).;		
	Note	-Spr successful analysis of a blind performance sample on a similar method using the same		
		technology (e.g., GC/MS volatiles by purge and trap for Methods 524.2, 624 or 5030/8260);		
		technology (e.g. GC/MS volatiles by purge and trap for Methods 524.2 - 624 or		
		5030/8260) would only require documentation for one of the tests.		
	d)	a documented process of reviewing QC samples performed by an analyst or groups of		Formatted: Highlight
		analysts relative to the quality control requirements of the method, laboratory SUP, client specifications, and/or this Standard, review using OC samples, OC samples can be		
		reviewed <u>This review can be used to</u> identify patterns for individuals or groups of analysts and determine if corrective action or retraining is necessary		
1.7	Tech	nical Requirements		
1.7.2	Cont	nuing Calibration		
	Whe	n an initial instrument calibration is not performed on the day of analysis, the validity of the		
	initial	calibration shall be verified prior to sample analyses by a continuing instrument calibration		
	instru	ment calibration verification.		
	b)	Calibration shall be verified for each compoundanalyte, element, or other discrete chemical		
		species, except for multi-component analytes such as aroclors, chlordane, total petroleum		
		can be used.		
	<u>e)</u>	Criteria for the acceptance of a continuing instrument calibration verification shall be		Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt
		established. If the continuing instrument calibration verification results obtained are outside		
		the established acceptance chiena, conective actions must be performed. If routine		Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial 10 nt

EL-V1M4-2009: Chemical Testing page 6

fication fails to produce results within ac hall be performed. The laboratory shall must demonstrate acceptable performance after additional corrective action measures with two consecutive calibration verifications, or a new initial instrument calibration shall be performed. If the laboratory has not verified calibration, sample analyses may not occur until the analytical system is calibrated or calibration verified. If samples are analyzed using a system on which the calibration has not yet been verified the results shall be flagged. Data associated with an unacceptable calibration verification may be fully useable under the following special conditions:

#### 1.7.5 Sample Handling

The laboratory shall implement procedures for checking sample preservation using readily available techniques, such as pH or chlorine, prior to or during sample preparation or analysis. An exception is allowed for volatile organic compoundanalyte analyses; chemical

Formatted: Font: (Default) Arial, 10 pt